

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,040	01/30/2004	Robert Cantwell	NPUS-P015	7947	
38077	7590 06/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
-	W. RASCHE	WILKENS, JA	WILKENS, JANET MARIE		
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/769,040	CANTWELL, ROBERT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janet M. Wilkens	3637	

Defere the Filing of an Annual Brief								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) \( \subset \) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) 🔯 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	<del></del>	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).		·	_					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-26.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13.  Other:	_							
	AL	W. WILKENS						
	JANET	M. WILKENS						
	PRIMA	RY EXAMINER						
	H	(22th U						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

t

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The plural eve portions/second eve portions raise new issues into the case. Also, the scope of proposed claim 17 is modified from the original.